# 5.1 <br> Modeling Linear Programs 

Katta G. Murty Lecture slides

## Product Mix Models

Company can make many different products. This determines how many of each to make in a period to maximize profit.

INPUT DATA

1. List of all products that can be made.
2. List of all raw materials and other resources needed as inputs.
3. All input-output (or technology) coefficients.
4. Cost or net profit coefficients.
5. Bounds on each resource availability.
6. Bounds on amount of each product made.

## Example: Fertilizer Company

Two products. 3 raw materials. No limit on amount of each product made.

Table 2.1

| Item | Input <br> ton of | (tons)/ | Max. avail- <br> able daily <br> (tons)  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hi-ph | Lo-ph |  |
| RM 1 | 2 | 1 | 1500 |
| RM 2 | 1 | 1 | 1200 |
| RM 3 | 1 | 0 | 500 |
| Net |  |  |  |
| profit <br> (\$) / <br> ton | 15 | 10 |  |

Two activities, two decision variables.

## Some More Definitions

Slack Variables: RM 1 Constraint $2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1500$ equivalent to $1500-2 x_{1}-x_{2} \geq 0$.

Let $s_{1}=1500-2 x_{1}-x_{2}$, it is Tons of RM 1 Unused in Solution x, and called Slack Variable for RM 1 Constraint. By introducing it, RM 1 constraint can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+s_{1} & =1500 \\
s_{1} & \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Every inequality constraint can be written as an equation by introducing appropriate slack variable.
2. The slack variable for each inequality constraint is separate.
3. Sign of slack in equation is +1 or -1 depending on whether inequality is $\leq$ or $\geq$.
4. Slacks are always nonnegative variables.
5. LP said to be in STANDARD FORM if all constraints are equations and all variables are nonnegative variables. Every LP can be transformed into standard form.

## Production with Environmental Protection

Firm produces chemical $\mathbf{C}$ using two main raw materials $\mathbf{A}$, B.
$1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathbf{A}+2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathbf{B} \rightarrow$ Process $1 \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{lb}$ each of $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L W}, \mathbf{S W}$
$\mathbf{L W}=$ Liquid Waste, currently dumped in river.
$\mathbf{S W}=$ Solid Waste, currently being taken by a fertilizer company at no charge, no payment.

From next year, direct dumping of $\mathbf{L W}$ in river will be prohibited by EPA. 3 alternatives for handling $\mathbf{L W}$.

Alternative 1: Treat LW (cost $\$ 0.35 / \mathrm{lb}$ ) to remove pollutants, then release into river.

> Alternative 2: $1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{A}+1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{L W} \longrightarrow$ Process $2 \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{lb}$ of byproduct $\mathbf{D}$

Alternative 3: $1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{B}+1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{L W} \longrightarrow$ Process $3 \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{lb}$ of byproduct $\mathbf{E}$

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{A}$ | $\$ 1.5$, | upto $5000 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{A}$ available/day |
| $1 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{B}$ | $\$ 1.75, \quad$ upto $7000 \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{B}$ available/day |  |
| Process 1 labor $/ \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{C}$ made | $\$ 0.5$ |  |
| Process 1 other costs $/ \mathrm{lb} \mathbf{C}$ made | $\$ 1.6$ |  |
| Process 2 labor $/ \mathrm{lb}$ of $\mathbf{D}$ made | $\$ 0.2$ |  |
| Process 3 labor $/ \mathrm{lb}$ of $\mathbf{E}$ made | $\$ 0.15$ |  |
| Treatment/lb of $\mathbf{L W}$ | $\$ 0.45$ |  |


| Product | Selling Price |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $\$ 5.95 / \mathrm{lb}$ |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\$ 0.85 / \mathrm{lb}$ |
| E | $\$ 0.65 / \mathrm{lb}$ |

Required to find best plan for company while meeting EPA guidelines.

## Linear Blending Models

Many applications in Petroleum, Food, Paint, Chemical, Pharmaceutical industries.

Linearity Assumptions: Suppose $p_{1}+\ldots+p_{k}=1$ and a mixture contains:

| Materials | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $M_{k}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In Proprtion | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $p_{k}$ |
| Characteristic $t$ | $t_{1}$ | $t_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $t_{k}$ |
| for material |  |  |  |  |

Linearity holds iff Characteristic for mixture is: $\sum_{r=1}^{k} p_{r} t_{r}$.
Example: Mixture, 4 barrels of fuel $F_{1}$ and 6 barrels of fuel $F_{2}$. OCR of $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are 68,92 respectively. What will be the OCR of mixture under linearity?

## Decision Variables in Blending Models Are

Either QUANTITIES of materials blended, $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$, say. In this case remember to include constraint that: Quantity of blend made, $w=w_{1}+\ldots+w_{k}$. Or PROPORTIONS of materials in the blend, $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$, say. In this case remember to include the constraint that: $\quad p_{1}+\ldots+p_{k}$ $=1$.

Gasoline Blending Example: Specs. on many properties: Octane Rating (OCR), Viscosity, Vapor Pressure, Pour Point, Freezing Point, etc. For simplicity we consider only one property, OCR.

Company blends 4 raw gasolines $R G_{1}$ to $R G_{4}$ into 3 different grades of fuel $F_{1}$ to $F_{3}$. All quantities measured in $B$ (Barrels). Any $R G$ not blended into fuels can be sold to small dealers at: $\$ 38.95 / B$. if $\quad \mathrm{OCR}>90$
$\$ 36.85 / B . \quad$ if $\quad \mathrm{OCR} \leq 90$

Other data given below. Formulate best buying, blending, selling plan.

| $R G$ | OCR | Max. Availability/day | Cost $\$ / B$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R G_{1}$ | 68 | $4000 B$. | $\$ 31.02$ |
| $R G_{2}$ | 86 | 5050 | 33.15 |
| $R G_{3}$ | 91 | 7100 | 36.35 |
| $R G_{4}$ | 99 | 4300 | 38.75 |
| Fuel | Min. OCR | Price $\$ / B$. | Demand |
| $F_{1}$ | 95 | $\$ 45.15$ | $\leq 10,000 B . /$ day |
| $F_{2}$ | 90 | 42.95 | No limit |
| $F_{3}$ | 85 | 40.99 | $\geq 15,000 B . /$ day |

## The Diet Model

Nutriets

Foods

Diet
Combination of foods that one eats daily. Different foods measured in different units.

Food Composition No. of units of each nutrient per unit of that food. Determined by a careful chemical analysis.

MDR of nutrient Minimum Daily Requirement for nutrient. Determined by careful medical analysis.

AIM: Foods vary greatly in cost and composition. Aim is to find a minimum cost diet meeting MDR of all nutrients.

History: First studied by G. J. Stiegler in 1940's. His model had 77 foods at 1939 prices. Combined nutrients into groups (like vitamins, etc.), and considered 9 different nutrient groups. Taste not considered. Modeled as an LP involving 9 constraints in 77 variables. Awarded 1982 ECON. NOBEL PRIZE partly for this model.

Now-A-Days used extensively for designing feed for farm chickens, turkeys, cows, hogs, etc.

EXAMPLE: Two foods, $F_{1}, F_{2}$. Three nutrients: $S$ (starches), $P$ (proteins), $V$ (vitamins).

| Nutrient | Nutrient units/kg. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ |  |
| $S$ | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| $P$ | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| $V$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Cost $(\$ / \mathrm{kg})$. | 0.60 | 0.35 |  |

## The Transportation Model

AIM is to find a way of transferring goods at min. transportation cost. In USA alone, transportation of goods is estimated to cost over US $\$ 900$ billion/year!

SOURCES: Places where material is available.
SINKS, MARKETS, or DEMAND CENTERS: Places where material is required.

AVAILABILITY (at sources): How much material is available at each source.

REQUIREMENTS (at sinks): How much material is required at each sink.

COST COEFFs.: Cost of transporting material/unit from each source to each sink.

BALANCE CONDITION: \{Sum availabilities at sources $\}=$ \{Sum requirements at sinks\}. If this holds, problem called BALANCED TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM.

History: Formulated in 1930's by Russian economist L. V. Kantorovitch. He \& T. Koopmans received 1975 ECON. NOBEL PRIZE partly for this work.

EXAMPLE: Sources are 2 mines, sinks are 3 steel plants.

|  | $c_{i j}$ (cents/ton) |  | Availability at |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $j=1$ | 2 | 3 | mine (tons) daily |
| Mine $i=1$ | 11 | 8 | 2 | 800 |
| 2 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 300 |
| Requirement at |  |  |  |  |
| plant (tons) daily | 400 | 500 | 200 |  |

## Array Repersentation of Transportation Model

Each row (Column) of array corresponds to a source (Sink). Cell $(i, j)$ in array corresponds to cooresponds to transportation route from source $i$ to sink $j$. Put variable $x_{i j}$ in its center.

Each row and column of array leads to a constraint on sum of variables in it.

SPECIAL PROPERTY OF TRANSPORTATION MODEL: THE INTEGER PROPERTY: If all availabilities and requirements are positive integers, and if the problem has a feasible solution, then it has an optimum solution in which all variables take only integer values.

|  | Plant |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Mine 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}x_{11} & \\ \\ & 11\end{array}$ | $x_{12}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}x_{13} & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | $=800$ |
| Mine 2 | $x_{21}$ | ${ }^{x_{22}}$ | $x_{23}$ | $=300$ |
|  | $=400$ | $=500$ | $=200$ |  |
| $x_{i j} \geq 0$ for all $i, j$. Min. cost. |  |  |  |  |

## Assignment Problem

It is a transportation problem with equality constraints in which
no. of sources $=$ no. of sinks $=n$ say,
all availabilities and all requirements are $=1$.

EXAMPLE: Write assignment problem with cost matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
5 & 9 & 10 \\
4 & 5 & 19 \\
6 & 8 & 8
\end{array}\right)
$$

Has many applications such as in assigning jobs to machines etc.

## Marriage application of Assignment Problem.

Halmos and Vaughan (1950) used Assignment problem as a tool to analyze problem of marriage and divorce in society.

Consider club of 5 boys and 5 girls.
$c_{i j}=$ (Mutual) happiness rating of boy $i$ and girl $j$ when they spend a unit time together.

Given $c=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ determine what fraction of life each boy should spend with each girl, to max. club's happiness.

|  | $c_{i j}$ for girl $j=$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| boy $i=1$ | 78 | -16 | 19 | 25 | 83 |
| 2 | 99 | 98 | 87 | 16 | 92 |
| 3 | 86 | 19 | 39 | 88 | 17 |
| 4 | -20 | 99 | 88 | 79 | 65 |
| 5 | 97 | 98 | 90 | 48 | 60 |

## Multi-Period LP Models

Important applications in production planning for planning production, storage, marketing of product over a planning horizon.

Production capacity, demand, selling price, production cost, may all vary from period to period.

AIM: To determine how much to produce, store, sell in each period; to max. net profit over entire planning horizon.

YOU NEED Variables that represent how much material is in storage at end of each period, and a material balance constraint for each period.

EXAMPLE: Planning Horizon $=6$ periods.
Storage warehouse capacity: 3000 tons.
Storage cost: $\$ 2 /$ ton from one period to next.
Initial stock: 500 tons. Desired final stock: 500 tons.

| Period | Prod. | Prod. | Demand <br>  <br> cost | Sell <br> capacity |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $20 \$ /$ tons $)$ | 1500 | 1100 tons | $180 \$ /$ ton |
| 2 | 25 | 2000 | 1500 | 180 |
| 3 | 30 | 2200 | 1800 | 250 |
| 4 | 40 | 3000 | 1600 | 270 |
| 5 | 50 | 2700 | 2300 | 300 |
| 6 | 60 | 2500 | 2500 | 320 |

## EXAMPLE:

Company sends 3 air pollutants ( particulates ( P ), sulfur oxides (SO), and hydrocarbons ( HC ) ) from blast furnaces, open hearth furnaces. Each measured in units of million lbs./year. New rules require reductions in these.

| Pollutant | Required reductions |
| :---: | :---: |
| P | 50 |
| SO | 140 |
| HC | 130 |

Emissions can be reduced by 3 techniques. They are:
TS - taller smoke stacks, i.e., increase height.
IF - use filter devices in smokestacks
HG - use more high grade fuels

Following tables give reductions that can be achieved using the method at maximum possible level.

| Pollutant |  | Reduction in blastfurnaces* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P |  | $12 \quad 25$ | 17 |
| SO |  | $35 \quad 20$ | 55 |
| HC |  | $34 \quad 27$ | 28 |
| Yearly Cost (in $10^{6} \$$ |  | 87 | 11 |
| * using at maximum level |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{+}$for maximum level |  |  |  |
| Pollutant | Reduction in open hearth furnaces* |  |  |
|  | TS | IF | HG |
| P | 9 | 20 | 13 |
| SO | 40 | 30 | 47 |
| HC | 50 | 23 | 18 |
| Yearly Cost (in $\left.10^{6} \$\right)^{+}$ | 10 | 6 | 9 |
| * using at maximum level |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{+}$for maximum level |  |  |  |

Reductions by 3 techniques are additive. Each can be used at any fraction
of its maximum level in any furnace
to get corresponding fractional reduction ,
at corresponding fractional cost.
Determine combination of 3 techniques, as
fractions of the maximum possible levels, to use at each furnace,
to

## achieve mandated reductions at minimum cost.

Homework Problems:
5.1 (F, MV) Formulate following problems as linear programs.
(a) Company can make 2 products $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ using 3 raw materials (RM1, RM2, RM3) with follwing data. $P_{1}$ can be sold in unlimited quantities. Market for $P_{2}$ is limited to 10 tons. To maximize total net profit.

|  | Input (tons/ton) to make |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Availability |
| RM1 | 2 | 5 | 60 tons |
| RM2 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| RM3 | 3 | 1 | 44 |
| Net profit (\$/ton sold) | 8 | 14 |  |

(b) Similar to (a). Amounts of RM1, 2, 3 available are 15, 12, 45 tons respectively. However, process of making $P_{1}$ takes as input RM2, RM3, but outputs additional quantities of RM1 as a byproduct. Info. \& data on both processes given below. Both products have unlimited market. Net profit per ton of $P_{1}, P_{2}$ sold is $\$ 10,20$ respectively.
(Input 1 ton RM2 +5 tons RM3 $) \rightarrow P_{1}$ process $\rightarrow\left(1\right.$ ton $P_{1}+1$ ton RM1 $)$
$(2$ tons RM1 +1 ton RM2 +3 tons RM3 $) \rightarrow P_{2}$ process $\rightarrow\left(1\right.$ ton $\left.P_{2}\right)$
(c) Similar to (a), but only RM1, 2. Maximum demand for $P_{1}, P_{2}$ is 20, 30 tons respectively. Here is other data.

|  | Input (tons/ton) to make |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Availability |
| RM1 | 20 | 10 | 500 tons |
| RM2 | 5 | 5 | 165 |
| Net profit (\$/ton sold) | 16 | 13 |  |

(d) Same as (c) with following data

|  | Input (tons/ton) to make |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Availability |
| RM1 | 5000 | 4000 | 6000 tons |
| RM2 | 400 | 500 | 600 |
| Net profit (\$/ton sold) | 4500 | 4500 |  |
| Max. demand | 1 | 1 |  |

(e) Same as (a) but with following data, and unlimited demand for both products.

|  | Input (tons/ton) to make |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Availability |
| RM1 | 15 | 5 | 300 tons |
| RM2 | 10 | 6 | 240 |
| RM3 | 8 | 12 | 450 |
| Net profit (\$/ton sold) | 500 | 300 |  |

(f) Diet problem with 3 nutrients $(C=$ carbohydrates, $\mathrm{P}=$ protein, $\mathrm{F}=$ fat), 2 foods. Need to find min cost diet.

|  | Units/unit of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Nutrient | Food 1 | Food 2 | Requirement |
| C | 5 | 15 | at least 50 |
| P | 20 | 5 | at least 40 |
| F | 15 | 2 | at most 60 |
| Cost (\$/unit) | 4 | 2 |  |

5.2 (F) Formulate following problems as LPs.
(a) Transportation problem. To min total shipping cost.

|  | Shipping cost (\$/unit) to |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sink 1 | 2 | 3 | Available |
| Source 1 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| Source 2 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 5 |
| Exact requirement | 3 | 2 | 4 |  |

(b) Nonferrous metals company makes 4 alloys from 2 metals, according to following requirements. To maximize gross revenue.

|  | proportion of metal in alloy |  |  |  | Availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metal | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | per day |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 25 tons |
| 2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 40 tons |
| Alloy price |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(\$ /$ ton $)$ | 750 | 650 | 1200 | 2200 |  |

(c) The grains that can be included in a multigrain flour have the following composition and price.

|  | $\%$ of Nutrient |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| in Grain |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Starch | 30 | 20 | 40 | 25 |
| Fiber | 40 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| Protein | 20 | 15 | 5 | 30 |
| Gluten | 10 | 0 | 20 | 5 |
| Cost (cents/kg.) | 70 | 40 | 60 | 80 |

For taste, $\%$ of grain 2 in mix cannot exceed 20, of grain 3 has to be at least 30 , and of grain 1 has to be between 10 to 25 .

The $\%$ protein in must be at least 18 , of gluten has to be between 8 to 13 , and of fiber at most 50 . Cost has to be minimized.
(d) To make 3 juice mixes TM, Pa.D, HN, using high-sugar pineapple juice (HSP), normal pineapple juice (NP), orange juice (OJ), tangerine juice (TJ), and white grape juice (GJ). To maximize net profit.

| Juice | Specs. on \% of juice in mix |  |  | Price/unit | Available (units) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | TM | Pa.D | HN |  |  |
| HSP | $\geq 5$ | $\geq 10$ |  | 65 | 1000 |
| NP | $10-33$ | $\leq 20$ | $30-50$ | 30 | 10,000 |
| OJ | $50-80$ | $\leq 70$ | $\geq 50$ | 20 | 60,000 |
| TJ | $\geq 15$ |  |  |  |  |
| GJ | $10-20$ | $10-20$ | 75 | 2000 |  |
| Selling price/unit | 100 | 150 | 80 |  | 40,000 |

(e) To make 3 grades of gasoline by blending 3 different crude oil distillates $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$. To maximize net profit.

| Distillate | Oc.R | Availability (brls./day) | Cost (\$/brl) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 83 | 20,000 | 26 |  |
| B | 88 | 25,000 | 30 |  |
| C | 93 | 15,000 | 34 |  |
| Gasoline | Min. Oc.R | Max. \% A | Min. \% C | Selling price (\$/brl) |
| Regular | 87 |  | 20 | 33 |
| Mid-grade | 89 | 15 | 30 | 41 |
| Premium | 90 | 60 | 40 | 48 |

(f) To max total net profit by selling currant jelly (CJ) \& orange marmalade (OM).

Cost is $\$ 0.80$ and $\$ 1.50$ to produce a jar of OM and CJ. With no advertising, sales are 3500 jars for OM, 5500 jars for CJ at prices of $\$ 2.20$ and $\$ 4$ per jar, per week.

By advertizing, weekly sales of OM can be increased at rate 2 jars per $\$$ spent on it until total sales
reach 4200 jars; and of CJ can be increased at rate 1.2 jars per $\$$ spent on it until total sales reach 6000 jars. Can spend $\leq \$ 1000$ on advertising per week, total. Determine opt. production and advertisement plan, ignore integer requirements on jars produced and sold.
(g) To make 2 types of desks. Available are: 150 man hours of welders time, 280 man hours of assembler time per day. Below is data on the man hours needed to make a unit of 5 desks. Max total revenue.

|  | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assembler man hours/unit | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Welder man hours/unit | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| Revenue produced/desk | $\$ 575$ | 450 |

(h) To make 5 products. 3 types of machines used. Labor cost is $\$ 6 /$ hour on machine types 1,2 , and $\$ 4 /$ hour on machine type 3 . Max net profit.

|  | Mts. mc. time/unit <br> of product |  |  |  | Mc. time <br> available/week |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Mc. type 1 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 149 hrs. |
| 2 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 129 hrs. |
| 3 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1118 hrs. |
| Price/unit | $\$ 17$ | 15 | 16 | 13 | 14 |  |
| Raw material cost/unit | $\$ 3$ | 2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1 |  |

(i) Available are 1000 acres of land, 4500 man hours of labor per season, to grow corn, soybeans. To find best allocation of available acreage to crops.

|  | Corn | Soybean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man hrs. labor required/acre | 6 | 4 |
| Cost of seed, fertilizer, insecticide/acre | $\$ 85$ | $\$ 35$ |
| Yield Bushels/acre | 120 | 35 |
| Selling price/bushel | $\$ 3.15$ | $\$ 6.25$ |

